

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO 8756 | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/895,334 | 07/02/2001 | Rejean Aube | 45888-1 | | |
| 7. | 590 11/26/2002 | | | | |
| EDWIN J. GALE KIRBY EADES GALE BAKER P.O. BOX 3432, STN. D | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | FELTON, AILEEN BAKER | | |
| OTTAWA, ON CANADA | KIP 6N9 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| CHARDI | | | 3641 | | |

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

'n

Application No. 09/895,334

Applicant(s)

Aube

Examiner

Aileen Felton

Art Unit 3641



| | | Alleen Follon | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sheet with | the corres | spondence address | | | |
| | or Reply | | | _ | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | | | | | |
| mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re | ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication. Beriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | he statutory minimum of thirty (3 and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f he application to become ABAND | O) days will be from the mailir ONED (35 U.S | e considered timely. ng date of this communication. S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) 💢 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 25, 2 | 2002 | | • | | | |
| 2a) 🗌 | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This act | tion is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) 🗆 | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | tion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> | | is/are | e pending in the application. | | | |
| 4 | a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>12-29</u> | | is/ar | e withdrawn from consideration. | | | |
| 5) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | | is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> | | <u> </u> | is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | | is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) 🗆 | Claims | are subject | t to restri | ction and/or election requirement. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed onis/are | e a) 🗌 accepted or b) | \square object | ed to by the Examiner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abe | eyance. Se | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a)□ | approved | b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. | | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply | to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have | | | 3 1_ | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | • | n this National Stage | | | |
| 14) | | | |)(e). | | | |
| | ☐ The translation of the foreign language provision | | | | | | |
| 15) | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | | | | | | |
| Attachm | | | | | | | |
| 1) 🔀 N | otice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (P) | ГО-413) Раре | r No(s) | | | |
| _ | otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) Notice of Informal Pate | ent Application | (PTO-152) | | | |
| 3) 🔲 İn | formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 6) Other: | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Claims 12-29 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 10.

Applicant's election of the species of barium sulfate, silicon, red lead, and sodium carboxymethyl cellulose is noted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dufrane et al(5,031,538).

Dufrane et al discloses a delay element that comprises barium sulfate, silicon, and red lead. The binder of sodium carboxymethyl cellulose is not disclosed.

Taylor et al dislcoses the use of up to 5 % sodium carboxymethyl cellulose with a delay composition.

Application/Control Number: 09895334

Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955).

Art Unit: 3641

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the binder as taught by Taylor with the delay composition of Dufrane since Taylor suggests that the binder is useful in delay compositions. The surface area and particle size are inherent properties of the delay composition. It would also be obvious to vary the amounts of the ingredients to optimize the performance of the delay composition. It is well-settled that optimizing a result effective variable is well within the expected ability of a person of ordinary skill in the subject art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980), *In re*

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aileen Felton whose telephone number is (703) 306-5751. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone, can be reached on (703) 306-4198. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

MICHAEL L. CARONS
SUPERVISCAN STATEMICSUSA

Page 3